

GPCO 453: Quantitative Methods I

Additional Notes on Comparing Two Means

Shane Xinyang Xuan¹
ShaneXuan.com

November 27, 2017

¹Department of Political Science, UC San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive #0521.

Comparing Two Means

- ▶ Test the hypothesis at $\alpha = 0.05$ that the average temperature in San Diego is higher than the average temperature in San Francisco. A random sample of 33 days and 37 days is obtained from San Diego and San Francisco. Note that $\bar{x}_{SD} = 72$, $s_{SD} = 10$, $\bar{x}_{SF} = 65$, $s_{SF} = 12$.

Comparing Two Means

- ▶ Test the hypothesis at $\alpha = 0.05$ that the average temperature in San Diego is higher than the average temperature in San Francisco. A random sample of 33 days and 37 days is obtained from San Diego and San Francisco. Note that $\bar{x}_{SD} = 72$, $s_{SD} = 10$, $\bar{x}_{SF} = 65$, $s_{SF} = 12$.
- ▶ First, we want to write down the **null** and **alternative** hypotheses. By definition, the null hypothesis contains the equal sign, and the alternative hypothesis does **not** contain the equal sign. The claim in the problem does **not** have an equal sign, so we treat it as the alternative hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \mu_{SD} - \mu_{SF} \leq 0; \quad (1)$$

$$H_A : \mu_{SD} - \mu_{SF} > 0 \quad (2)$$

Comparing Two Means

- ▶ Test the hypothesis at $\alpha = 0.05$ that the average temperature in San Diego is higher than the average temperature in San Francisco. A random sample of 33 days and 37 days is obtained from San Diego and San Francisco. Note that $\bar{x}_{SD} = 72$, $s_{SD} = 10$, $\bar{x}_{SF} = 65$, $s_{SF} = 12$.
- ▶ First, we want to write down the **null** and **alternative** hypotheses. By definition, the null hypothesis contains the equal sign, and the alternative hypothesis does **not** contain the equal sign. The claim in the problem does **not** have an equal sign, so we treat it as the alternative hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \mu_{SD} - \mu_{SF} \leq 0; \quad (1)$$

$$H_A : \mu_{SD} - \mu_{SF} > 0 \quad (2)$$

- ▶ Note that this is a **right-tailed test**.

Comparing Two Means: Cont.

- ▶ Next, we want to calculate the *t*-statistic:

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 - D_0}{\sigma_{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}} \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{72 - 65 - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{10^2}{33} + \frac{12^2}{37}}} = 2.66 \quad (4)$$

Comparing Two Means: Cont.

- ▶ Next, we want to calculate the *t*-statistic:

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 - D_0}{\sigma_{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}} \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{72 - 65 - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{10^2}{33} + \frac{12^2}{37}}} = 2.66 \quad (4)$$

- ▶ Now, we want to find the *critical value*. We need degree of freedom and α for this. We first find degree of freedom using the formula available in lecture/section slides. We find that the degree of freedom is 68.

Comparing Two Means: Cont.

- ▶ Next, we want to calculate the *t*-statistic:

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 - D_0}{\sigma_{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}} \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{72 - 65 - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{10^2}{33} + \frac{12^2}{37}}} = 2.66 \quad (4)$$

- ▶ Now, we want to find the *critical value*. We need degree of freedom and α for this. We first find degree of freedom using the formula available in lecture/section slides. We find that the degree of freedom is 68.
- ▶ We want to conduct the test at 95% confidence level. Since this is a right-tailed test, the critical value is simply $t_\alpha = t_{0.05}$.

Comparing Two Means: Cont.

- ▶ Find the **critical value**:

Degree of Freedom	...	Area in Upper Tail = 0.05
⋮	⋮	⋮
68	...	1.668

Comparing Two Means: Cont.

- ▶ Find the **critical value**:

Degree of Freedom	...	Area in Upper Tail = 0.05
⋮	⋮	⋮
68	...	1.668

- ▶ Compare t -statistic to the critical value:

$$2.66 > 1.668 \quad (5)$$

Note that t -statistic falls in the rejection area.

Comparing Two Means: Cont.

- ▶ Find the **critical value**:

Degree of Freedom	...	Area in Upper Tail = 0.05
⋮	⋮	⋮
68	...	1.668

- ▶ Compare t -statistic to the critical value:

$$2.66 > 1.668 \quad (5)$$

Note that t -statistic falls in the rejection area.

- ▶ We conclude that we can **reject** the null hypothesis and the average SD temperature is higher than the average SF temperature

Comparing Two Means: Tweaks

- ▶ Now, consider a different case. Test the hypothesis at $\alpha = 0.05$ that the average temperature in San Diego is **higher or equal to** the average temperature in San Francisco. Note that $n_{SD} = 33$, $n_{SF} = 37$, $\bar{x}_{SD} = 72$, $s_{SD} = 10$, $\bar{x}_{SF} = 65$, $s_{SF} = 12$.

Comparing Two Means: Tweaks

- ▶ Now, consider a different case. Test the hypothesis at $\alpha = 0.05$ that the average temperature in San Diego is **higher or equal to** the average temperature in San Francisco. Note that $n_{SD} = 33, n_{SF} = 37, \bar{x}_{SD} = 72, s_{SD} = 10, \bar{x}_{SF} = 65, s_{SF} = 12$.
- ▶ The claim in the problem does have an equal sign, so we treat it as the **null** hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \mu_{SD} - \mu_{SF} \geq 0; \quad (6)$$

$$H_A : \mu_{SD} - \mu_{SF} < 0 \quad (7)$$

Comparing Two Means: Tweaks

- ▶ Now, consider a different case. Test the hypothesis at $\alpha = 0.05$ that the average temperature in San Diego is **higher or equal to** the average temperature in San Francisco. Note that $n_{SD} = 33$, $n_{SF} = 37$, $\bar{x}_{SD} = 72$, $s_{SD} = 10$, $\bar{x}_{SF} = 65$, $s_{SF} = 12$.
- ▶ The claim in the problem does have an equal sign, so we treat it as the **null** hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \mu_{SD} - \mu_{SF} \geq 0; \quad (6)$$

$$H_A : \mu_{SD} - \mu_{SF} < 0 \quad (7)$$

- ▶ Note that this is a **left-tailed test**.

Comparing Two Means: Tweaks Cont.

► As before, $t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 - D_0}{\sigma_{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}} = \frac{72 - 65 - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{10^2}{33} + \frac{12^2}{37}}} = 2.66$, and $d.f. = 68$

Comparing Two Means: Tweaks Cont.

- ▶ As before, $t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 - D_0}{\sigma_{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}} = \frac{72 - 65 - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{10^2}{33} + \frac{12^2}{37}}} = 2.66$, and $d.f. = 68$
- ▶ Since this is a **left-tailed test**, we want to find the critical value when the area on the left is 0.05, which means that the area in the upper tail is **0.95**.

Comparing Two Means: Tweaks Cont.

- ▶ As before, $t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 - D_0}{\sigma_{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}} = \frac{72 - 65 - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{10^2}{33} + \frac{12^2}{37}}} = 2.66$, and $d.f. = 68$
- ▶ Since this is a **left-tailed test**, we want to find the critical value when the area on the left is 0.05, which means that the area in the upper tail is **0.95**.
- ▶ Since the critical value when the area in the upper tail is 0.05 is 1.668, we know that the critical value when the area in the upper tail is **0.95** should be **-1.668**.

Comparing Two Means: Tweaks Cont.

- ▶ As before, $t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 - D_0}{\sigma_{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}} = \frac{72 - 65 - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{10^2}{33} + \frac{12^2}{37}}} = 2.66$, and $d.f. = 68$
- ▶ Since this is a **left-tailed test**, we want to find the critical value when the area on the left is 0.05, which means that the area in the upper tail is **0.95**.
- ▶ Since the critical value when the area in the upper tail is 0.05 is 1.668, we know that the critical value when the area in the upper tail is **0.95** should be **-1.668**.
- ▶ Compare t -statistic to the critical value:

$$2.66 > -1.668 \quad (8)$$

Note that t -statistic does **not** fall in the rejection area.

Comparing Two Means: Tweaks Cont.

- ▶ As before, $t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 - D_0}{\sigma_{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}} = \frac{72 - 65 - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{10^2}{33} + \frac{12^2}{37}}} = 2.66$, and $d.f. = 68$
- ▶ Since this is a **left-tailed test**, we want to find the critical value when the area on the left is 0.05, which means that the area in the upper tail is **0.95**.
- ▶ Since the critical value when the area in the upper tail is 0.05 is 1.668, we know that the critical value when the area in the upper tail is **0.95** should be **-1.668**.
- ▶ Compare t -statistic to the critical value:

$$2.66 > -1.668 \quad (8)$$

Note that t -statistic does **not** fall in the rejection area.

- ▶ We conclude that we **fail to reject** the null hypothesis that San Diego is hotter than or as hot as San Francisco.